Adaptively Tracking the Best Bandit Arm with an Unknown Number of Distribution Changes

Peter Auer¹, Ronald Ortner¹ and Pratik Gajane^{1,2}

¹Montanuniversität Leoben ²chist-era project DELTA Austrian Science Fund (FWF): I 3437

Presentation at DeepMind, Google 04 Sep 2019





Switching Bandit Setting

Stochastic multi-armed bandit problem with changes

- A set of arms $\{1, \ldots, K\}$.
- Learner chooses arm a_t at steps t = 1, 2, ..., T.
- Learner receives random reward $r_t \in [0, 1]$ with (unknown) mean $\mathbb{E}[r_t] = \mu_t(a_t)$.
- The mean rewards $\mu_t(a)$ depend on time t.



Performance Measure

We define the **regret** in this setting as

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\mu_t^* - \mathbf{r_t} \right),$$

where $\mu_t^* := \max_a \mu_t(a)$ is the optimal mean reward at step t.

We define the **regret** in this setting as

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\mu_t^* - \mathbf{r_t} \right),$$

where $\mu_t^* := \max_a \mu_t(a)$ is the optimal mean reward at step t.

The regret will depend on the number of changes L, i.e., the number of times when $\mu_{t-1}(a) \neq \mu_t(a)$ for some a.



When the number of changes L is known:

- Upper bounds of $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{KLT})$ for algorithms which use number of changes L:
 - EXP3.S (Auer et al., SIAM J. Comput. 2002)
 - Garivier& Moulines, ALT 2011
 - Allesiardo et al, IJDSA 2017



When the number of changes L is known:

- Upper bounds of $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{KLT})$ for algorithms which use number of changes L:
 - EXP3.S (Auer et al., SIAM J. Comput. 2002)
 - Garivier& Moulines, ALT 2011
 - Allesiardo et al, IJDSA 2017
- Lower bound of $\Omega(\sqrt{KLT})$, which holds even when *L* is known.

When the number of changes L is known:

- Upper bounds of $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{KLT})$ for algorithms which use number of changes L:
 - EXP3.S (Auer et al., SIAM J. Comput. 2002)
 - Garivier& Moulines, ALT 2011
 - Allesiardo et al, IJDSA 2017
- Lower bound of $\Omega(\sqrt{KLT})$, which holds even when L is known.

For unknwown L:

Optimal regret bounds for two arms (Auer et al., EWRL 2018)

When the number of changes L is known:

- Upper bounds of $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{KLT})$ for algorithms which use number of changes L:
 - EXP3.S (Auer et al., SIAM J. Comput. 2002)
 - Garivier& Moulines, ALT 2011
 - Allesiardo et al, IJDSA 2017
- Lower bound of $\Omega(\sqrt{KLT})$, which holds even when L is known.

For unknwown L:

- Optimal regret bounds for two arms (Auer et al., EWRL 2018)
- (Auer et al., EWRL 2018) was also the base for (Chen et al., 2019)



AdSwitch for two arms (Sketch)

For episodes $I = 1, 2, \dots$ do:

- Estimation phase:
 - Select both arms are selected alternatingly, until better arm has been identified.

AdSwitch (for two arms)

AdSwitch for two arms (Sketch)

For episodes $I = 1, 2, \dots$ do:

- Estimation phase:
 - Select both arms are selected alternatingly, until better arm has been identified.
- Exploitation and checking phase:
 - Mostly exploit the empirical best arm.
 - Sometimes sample both arms to check for change.
 If a change is detected then start a new episode.

AdSwitch (for two arms)

AdSwitch for two arms

For episodes $I = 1, 2, \dots$ do:

Estimation phase:

Sample both arms alternatingly until

$$\left|\hat{\mu}_1[t,s] - \hat{\mu}_2[t,s]\right| > \sqrt{\frac{C_1 \log T}{t-s}}$$
. Set $\hat{\Delta} := \hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2$.

AdSwitch (for two arms)

AdSwitch for two arms

For episodes $l = 1, 2, \dots$ do:

Estimation phase:

Sample both arms alternatingly until

$$\left|\hat{\mu}_1[t,s] - \hat{\mu}_2[t,s]\right| > \sqrt{\frac{C_1 \log T}{t-s}}$$
. Set $\hat{\Delta} := \hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2$.

- Exploitation and checking phase:
 - 1 Let $d_i = 2^{-i}$ and $I = \max\{i : d_i \ge \hat{\Delta}\}$.
 - **2** Randomly choose *i* from $\{1, 2, ..., I\}$ with probabilities $d_i \sqrt{\frac{I+1}{T}}$.
 - With remaining probability choose empirically best arm and repeat phase.
 - If an *i* is chosen, sample both arms alternatingly for $2 \left| \frac{C_2 \log T}{dt^2} \right|$ steps to check for changes of size d_i: If $\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2 \notin \left[\hat{\Delta} - \frac{d_i}{4}, \hat{\Delta} + \frac{d_i}{4}\right]$, then start a new episode.

Regret Bound for AdSwitch for two arms

W.h.p. the algorithm

- will identify the better arm in the exploration phase,
- will detect significant changes in the exploitation phase, while the overhead for additional sampling is not too large,
- will make no false detections of a change.



Analysis overview

Regret Bound for AdSwitch for two arms

W.h.p. the algorithm

- will identify the better arm in the exploration phase,
- will detect significant changes in the exploitation phase, while the overhead for additional sampling is not too large,
- will make no false detections of a change.



Analysis overview

W.h.p. the algorithm

- will identify the better arm in the exploration phase,
- will detect significant changes in the exploitation phase, while the overhead for additional sampling is not too large,
- will make no false detections of a change.

Theorem

The regret of AdSwitch in a switching bandit problem with two arms and L changes is at most

$$O((\log T)\sqrt{(L+1)T}).$$



Regret Bound

The ADSWITCH Algorithm (Sketch)

For episodes (\approx estimated changes) $\ell = 1, 2, ...$ do:

Let the set GOOD contain all arms.

For episodes (\approx estimated changes) $\ell = 1, 2, ...$ do:

- Let the set GOOD contain all arms.
- Select all arms in GOOD alternatingly.

For episodes (\approx estimated changes) $\ell = 1, 2, ...$ do:

- Let the set GOOD contain all arms.
- Select all arms in GOOD alternatingly.
- Remove bad arms a from GOOD.

For episodes (\approx estimated changes) $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$ do:

- Let the set GOOD contain all arms.
- Select all arms in GOOD alternatingly.
- Remove bad arms a from GOOD.
- Sometimes sample discarded arms not in GOOD (to be able to check for changes).

For episodes (\approx estimated changes) $\ell = 1, 2, ...$ do:

- Let the set GOOD contain all arms.
- Select all arms in GOOD alternatingly.
- Remove bad arms a from GOOD.
- Sometimes sample discarded arms not in GOOD (to be able to check for changes).
- Check for changes (of all arms).
 If a change is detected, start a new episode.



For episodes (\approx estimated changes) $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$ do:

- Let the set GOOD contain all arms.
- Select all arms in GOOD alternatingly.
- Remove bad arms a from GOOD.
- Sometimes sample discarded arms not in GOOD (to be able to check for changes).
- Check for changes (of all arms). If a change is detected, start a new episode.

The ADSWITCH Algorithm (Sketch with more details)

For episodes (\approx estimated changes) $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$ do:

- Let the set GOOD contain all arms.
- Select all arms in GOOD ∪ S alternatingly.
- Remove bad arms *a* from *GOOD*. Keep in mind empirical gaps $\tilde{\Delta}(a)$.
- Sometimes sample discarded arms not in GOOD:
 - Define set S of arms $a \notin GOOD$ to be sampled.
 - At each step t, each $a \notin GOOD$, for $d_i \approx \tilde{\Delta}(a), 2\tilde{\Delta}(a), 4\tilde{\Delta}(a), \ldots$, with probability $d_i \sqrt{\ell/(KT)}$ add a to S.
 - Keep \underline{a} in \underline{S} until it has been sampled $1/d_i^2$ times.
- Check for changes (of all arms).
 If a change is detected, start a new episode.

Condition for eviction from GOOD

An arm a is evicted from GOOD at time t, if

$$\max_{a' \in GOOD_t} \hat{\mu}_{[s,t]}(a') - \hat{\mu}_{[s,t]}(a) > \sqrt{\frac{C_1 \log T}{n_{[s,t]}(a) - 1}},$$

start of the current episode $\leq s < t$ and $n_{[s,t]}(a) \geq 2$.

$$n_{[s,t]}(a) = \#\{s \leq \tau \leq t : a_{\tau} = a\}, \quad \hat{\mu}_{[s,t]}(a) = \frac{1}{n_{[s,t]}(a)} \sum_{\tau: s \leq \tau \leq t, a_{\tau} = a} r_{t}.$$

Condition for eviction from GOOD

An arm a is evicted from GOOD at time t, if

$$\max_{a' \in GOOD_t} \hat{\mu}_{[s,t]}(a') - \hat{\mu}_{[s,t]}(a) > \sqrt{\frac{C_1 \log T}{n_{[s,t]}(a) - 1}},$$

start of the current episode $\leq s < t$ and $n_{[s,t]}(a) \geq 2$.

$$n_{[s,t]}(a) = \#\{s \leq \tau \leq t : a_{\tau} = a\}, \quad \hat{\mu}_{[s,t]}(a) = \frac{1}{n_{[s,t]}(a)} \sum_{\tau : s \leq \tau \leq t, a_{\tau} = a} r_t.$$

For a suitable constant C_1 , this is a standard confidence bound on the mean rewards.

Condition for eviction from GOOD

An arm a is evicted from GOOD at time t, if

$$\max_{a' \in GOOD_t} \hat{\mu}_{[s,t]}(a') - \hat{\mu}_{[s,t]}(a) > \sqrt{\frac{C_1 \log T}{n_{[s,t]}(a) - 1}},$$

start of the current episode $\leq s < t$ and $n_{[s,t]}(a) \geq 2$.

$$n_{[s,t]}(a) = \#\{s \leq \tau \leq t : a_{\tau} = a\}, \quad \hat{\mu}_{[s,t]}(a) = \frac{1}{n_{[s,t]}(a)} \sum_{\tau : s \leq \tau \leq t, a_{\tau} = a} r_t.$$

For a suitable constant C_1 , this is a standard confidence bound on the mean rewards.

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\ell}(a) \leftarrow \hat{\mu}_{[s,t]}(a), \quad \tilde{\Delta}_{\ell}(a) \leftarrow \max_{a' \in \text{GOOD}_t} \hat{\mu}_{[s,t]}(a') - \hat{\mu}_{[s,t]}(a).$$

Check for changes in an arm in GOOD

Declare a change for $a \in GOOD$ at time t, if

$$\left|\hat{\mu}_{[s_1,s_2]}(a) - \hat{\mu}_{[s,t]}(a)\right| > \sqrt{\frac{2\log T}{n_{[s_1,s_2]}(a)}} + \sqrt{\frac{2\log T}{n_{[s,t]}(a)}},$$

for some $s_1 \le s_2 < s \le t$ within the current episode.

Another variation of the standard confidence bound on the mean rewards.

Check for changes in an arm not in GOOD

• Size of the change to be detected : $d_i = 2^{-i}$ where $d_i \geq \frac{\tilde{\Delta}(a)}{16}$.



Check for changes in an arm not in GOOD

- Size of the change to be detected : $d_i = 2^{-i}$ where $d_i \ge \frac{\tilde{\Delta}(a)}{16}$.
- Number of samples needed : $n = \lceil 2(\log T)/d_i^2 \rceil$.



- Size of the change to be detected : $d_i = 2^{-i}$ where $d_i \geq \frac{\tilde{\Delta}(a)}{16}$.
- Number of samples needed : $n = \lceil 2(\log T)/d_i^2 \rceil$.
- With probability $d_i \sqrt{\ell/(KT \log T)}$, add sampling obligation (d_i, n, s) at time s.



Check for changes in an arm not in GOOD

- Size of the change to be detected : $d_i = 2^{-i}$ where $d_i \geq \frac{\tilde{\Delta}(a)}{16}$.
- Number of samples needed : $n = \lceil 2(\log T)/d_i^2 \rceil$.
- With probability $d_i \sqrt{\ell/(KT \log T)}$, add sampling obligation (d_i, n, s) at time s.
- Declare a change for $a \notin GOOD$ at time t, if

$$\left|\hat{\mu}_{[s,t]}(a) - \tilde{\mu}_{\ell}(a)\right| > \tilde{\Delta}_{\ell}(a)/4 + \sqrt{\frac{2\log T}{n_{[s,t]}(a)}}.$$

Regret Bound for ADSWITCH

W.h.p. the algorithm

- identifies bad arms,
- makes no false detections of a change,
- detects significant changes fast enough,
 while the overhead for additional sampling is not too large.

Regret Bound for ADSWITCH

W.h.p. the algorithm

- identifies bad arms,
- makes no false detections of a change,
- detects significant changes fast enough,
 while the overhead for additional sampling is not too large.

Theorem

The expected regret of AdSwitch in a switching bandit problem with K arms and L changes after T steps is at most

$$O(\sqrt{K(L+1)T(\log T)}).$$



Analysis overview

Empirical average while no change

Lemma

If no change between time steps s and t, then w.h.p \forall arms the empirical average is close to their true mean.

Lemma

If no change between time steps s and t, then w.h.p \forall arms the empirical average is close to their true mean.

• With probability $1 - 2K/T^2$, for all $1 \le s \le t \le T$ with L[s, t] = 0, and all arms a,

$$\left|\hat{\mu}_{[s,t]}(a) - \mu_s(a)\right| < \sqrt{\frac{2\log T}{n_{[s,t]}(a)}}.$$

Empirical average while no change

Lemma

If no change between time steps s and t, then w.h.p \forall arms the empirical average is close to their true mean.

• With probability $1 - 2K/T^2$, for all $1 \le s \le t \le T$ with L[s,t] = 0, and all arms a,

$$\left|\hat{\mu}_{[s,t]}(a) - \mu_s(a)\right| < \sqrt{\frac{2\log T}{n_{[s,t]}(a)}}.$$

• Since the error probability $2K/T^2$ causes only diminishing regret, we assume that all inequalities of the lemma are satisfied.



Counting the number of episodes

Lemma

The total number of episodes is bounded by the number of changes L.

Counting the number of episodes

Lemma

The total number of episodes is bounded by the number of changes L.

• For every episode I, the number of changes in I is at least 1.



Counting the number of episodes

Lemma

The total number of episodes is bounded by the number of changes L.

- For every episode *I*, the number of changes in *I* is at least 1.
- The algorithm starts a new episode only if there is a change in the current episode.

```
Regret at time t = \text{regret} wrt the best good arm + \text{regret} of the best good arm wrt optimal arm
```

```
best good arm = arg max_{a \in GOOD} \mu_a
```



```
Regret at time t = \text{regret} wrt the best good arm + \text{regret} of the best good arm wrt optimal arm
```

```
best good arm = arg max_{a \in GOOD} \mu_a
```

No such decomposition needed when optimal arm is in GOOD.



Regret at time t = regret wrt the best good arm + regret of the best good arm wrt optimal arm

best good arm = $\arg\max_{a \in GOOD} \mu_a$

- No such decomposition needed when optimal arm is in GOOD.
- Otherwise two cases:
 - mean reward of optimal arm is close to the mean reward when it was evicted.
 - mean reward of optimal arm is far from the mean reward when it was evicted.



• A good arm is selected.

- A good arm is selected.
- A bad arm is selected, and its regret is not much larger than its eviction gap.

- A good arm is selected.
- A bad arm is selected, and its regret is not much larger than its eviction gap.
- A bad arm is selected, its regret is large, and
 - its mean reward is far from the mean reward when it was evicted.
 - its mean reward is relatively close to the mean reward when it was evicted.

Concluding remarks

- First algorithm for switching bandits that achieves optimal regret bounds without knowing the number of changes in advance.
- Main technical contribution is the delicate testing schedule of the apparently inferior arms.
- Extending our approach to reinforcement learning in changing Markov decision processes?