Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Group Fairness Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

On Formalizing Fairness in Prediction with Machine Learning

Pratik Gajane ¹ Mykola Pechenizkiy ²

¹Montanuniversität Leoben

²Eindhoven University of Technology

 $15^{\rm th}$ July 2018

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Group Fairness Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity Preference-based

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

A. Introduction

B. Past Notions

- Fairness through Unawareness
- Counterfactual Measures
- Group Fairness
- Individual Fairness
- Equality of Opportunity
- Preference-based Fairness

C. Prospective Notions

D. Summary and Further Directions

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Group Fairness Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

Introduction



Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

Introduction



→ ICML 2018 Tutorial : Defining and designing fair algorithms by Sam Corbett-Davies and Sharad Goel.

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

Introduction



→ ICML 2018 Tutorial : Defining and designing fair algorithms by Sam Corbett-Davies and Sharad Goel.

So long as I do not know what the just is, I shall hardly know whether it is a virtue or not.

Socrates

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Group Fairness Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity Preference-based

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

What? How? Why?

• Our Task: Analyze fairness formalizations considered in ML so far.

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

- Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Group Fairness Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity
- Preference-base Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

What? How? Why?

- Our Task: Analyze fairness formalizations considered in ML so far.
- Our Method: Juxtapose the formalizations in ML with their corresponding theories in Social Sciences.

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

- Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Group Fairness Individual Fairnes Equality of
- Opportunity Preference-base
- Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

What? How? Why?

- Our Task: Analyze fairness formalizations considered in ML so far.
- Our Method: Juxtapose the formalizations in ML with their corresponding theories in Social Sciences.
- Our Objective: Start a discussion and propose newer fairness formalizations in ML.

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Group Fairness Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

Mathematical Formulation

- X : Set of individuals i.e. *population*
 - A : Protected attributes e.g. race, gender etc
 - Z : Remaining attributes
 - Y : Set of outcomes
- For individual $x_i \in X$, let true outcome (label) be $y_i \in Y$
- Predictor *H* : X → Y such that *H*(x_i) is the predicted outcome for individual x_i
- Group-conditional predictor $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_S\}$ for every $S \subset X$

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

What is Fair?

- **Parity or preference?** : Statistical Parity or Social Preference?
- **Treatment or impact?** : A property of the process or of its results?

Table 1: The surveyed formalizations of fairness

	Parity	Preference
Treatment	Unawareness	Preferred treatment
	Counterfactual measures	
	Group fairness	
Impact	Individual fairness	Preferred impact
	Equality of opportunity	

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness

- Counterfactua Measures
- Group Fairness Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity
- Opportunity
- Fairness

Prospectiv Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

Fairness through Unawareness

Definition

Protected attributes are not explicitly used in prediction.

- Not sufficient to avoid discrimination.
- $\bullet~\sim$ "Blind" approach to counter discrimination.
- Various discriminatory practices following race-blind approach Bonilla-Silva (2013) [3], Taslitz (2007) [13].
- Race-blind approach is less efficient than race-conscious approach Fryer (2008) [5].
- Alternatively, some studies show a blind approach can work Glodin (2000) [6].

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness

Counterfactual Measures

Group Fairness Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

Counterfactual Measures

Definition

Changing A while holding attributes which are not causally dependent on A constant will not change the distribution of \mathcal{H} .

- Given Z = z and A = a, for all y and a ≠ a',

 ℜ{H_{A=a} = y | Z = z, A = a} = ℙ{H_{A=a'} = y | Z = z, A = a}

 where H_{A=a} = outcome of H if A had taken value a.
- Introduced by Kusner et al. [9]. Similar measure introduced independently by Kilbertus et al. [8].
- \sim Counterfactual reasoning given by Lewis (1973) [10]
- Research to indicate that counterfactual reasoning is susceptible to hindsight bias and outcome bias.
- Some argue that counterfactual reasoning may negatively influence identifying causality.

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures

Group Fairness

Individual Fairnes Equality of Opportunity Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

Group Fairness

Definition

Prob. of an individual from one group getting a particular outcome \approx Prob. of an individual from another group getting same outcome.

- Equivalent to statistical and demographic parity.
- Independent of "ground truth".
- ~ Collectivist egalitarianism from distributive justice.
- Biggest implementation = affirmative action.
- Arguments have been made for and against affirmative action Weisskopf (2004) [14].

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures

Group Fairnes

Individual Fairness

Equality of Opportunity Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

Individual Fairness

Definition

Similar individuals get similar outcome.

- Mathematically, *H*(*x_i*) ≈ *H*(*x_j*) | *d*(*x_i*, *x_i*) ≈ 0 where is a distance metric for individuals.
- \sim Individualist egalitarianism from distributive justice.
- Distance metric is critical to ensure non-discrimination.
- In some domains, reliable distance metric may be unavailable.

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

- Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures
- Group Fairness Individual Fairness

Equality of Opportunity

Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

Equality of Opportunity

Definition

True positive rate should be the same for all the groups.

- $\mathbb{P}{\mathcal{H}(x_i) = 1 \mid y_i = 1, x_i \in S} = \mathbb{P}{\mathcal{H}(x_j) = 1 \mid y_j = 1, x_j \in X \setminus S}$
- *Disparate mistreatment* : Equivalence of misclassification rates across the groups.
- \sim Equality of Opportunity by Rawls (1971) [11].
- Argument that it cannot deal with *stunted ambition* and *selection by bigotry* Arneson (1999) [1].
- Attributes like gender and race not deemed to be affecting an individual's life prospects while numerous surveys conclude otherwise.

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Group Fairness Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity

Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

Preference-based Fairness

Definition

(Preferred treatment) A group-conditional predictor in which each group receives more benefit from their respective predictor.

Definition

(Preferred impact) \mathcal{H} has preferred impact compared to \mathcal{H}' if \mathcal{H} offers at-least as much benefit as \mathcal{H}' for all the groups.

- In certain domains, no single universally accepted beneficial outcome.
- \sim envy-freeness Arnsperger (1994) [2].
- Freedom from envy neither necessary nor sufficient for fairness (Holocombe 1977 [7])
- Envy-freeness formally expressed by Pareto-efficiency.
- Finding Pareto-efficient solutions computationally hard.

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Group Fairness Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

Equality of Resources

Definition

(Equality of resources) Unequal distribution of benefits fair when it results from intentional decisions and actions. (Dworkin (1981) [4])

- Ambition-sensitive
- Endowment-insensitive
- In the 2nd property, it differs from equality of opportunity.

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Group Fairness Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

Equality of Capability of Functioning

Definition

(Equality of capability of functioning) In order to equalize capabilities, people should be compensated for their unequal powers to convert opportunities into functionings. (Sen (1992) [12])

- Functionings = various states of existence and activities that an individual can undertake.
- Calls for addressing inequalities due to social endowments (e.g. gender) as well as natural endowments (e.g. sex).
- Used in the foundations of human development paradigm by the United Nations.
- High informational requirement and difficult to express mathematically.

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Group Fairness Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

Summary and Further Directions

- Juxtaposed ML fairness formlaizatioons with theories from distributive justice.
- Critique and analysis from the social sciences literature.
- Nominate two notions from the social sciences literature as prospective ML fairness formalizations.
- Use of social science literature while choosing fairness formalizations in particular domains.
- Fair prediction cannot be achieved without considering social issues such as unequal access to resources and social conditioning.
- Acknowledge their impact and attempt to incorporate them in fairness formalizations.

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Group Fairness Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

Thank you all.

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Group Fairness Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

References I

- Richard J. Arneson. Against rawlsian equality of opportunity. *Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition*, 93(1):77–112, 1999.
- [2] Christian Arnsperger. Envy-Freeness and Distributive Justice. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 8(2):155–186, June 1994.
- [3] Eduardo Bonilla-Silva. Racism without Racists: Color-Blind Racism and the Persistence of Racial Inequality in the United States. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, 4th edition, 2013.
- [4] Ronald Dworkin. What is equality? part 2: Equality of resources. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, 10(4):283–345, 1981.

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Group Fairness Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

References II

- [5] Roland Fryer, G. Loury, and T. Yuret. An economic analysis of color-blind affirmative action. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*, 24(2):319–355, 2008.
- [6] Claudia Goldin and Cecilia Rouse. Orchestrating impartiality: The impact of "blind" auditions on female musicians. *American Economic Review*, 90(4):715–741, September 2000.
- [7] Randall G. Holcombe. Absence of envy does not imply fairness. *Southern Economic Journal*, 63(3):797–802, 1997.

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Group Fairness Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

References III

- [8] Niki Kilbertus, Mateo Rojas Carulla, Giambattista Parascandolo, Moritz Hardt, Dominik Janzing, and Bernhard Schölkopf. Avoiding discrimination through causal reasoning. In I. Guyon, U. V. Luxburg, S. Bengio, H. Wallach, R. Fergus, S. Vishwanathan, and R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30*, pages 656–666. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017.
- [9] Matt J Kusner, Joshua Loftus, Chris Russell, and Ricardo Silva. Counterfactual fairness. In I. Guyon, U. V. Luxburg, S. Bengio, H. Wallach, R. Fergus, S. Vishwanathan, and R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30*, pages 4066–4076. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017.
- [10] David Lewis. Causation. *Journal of Philosophy*, 70(17):556–567, 1973.

Pratik Gajane , Mykola Pechenizkiy

Introduction

Past Notions

Fairness through Unawareness Counterfactual Measures Group Fairness Individual Fairness Equality of Opportunity Preference-based Fairness

Prospective Notions

Summary and Further Directions

References

References IV

- [11] John Rawls. *A Theory of Justice*. Harvard University Press, 1971.
- [12] Amartya Sen. Inequality Reexamined. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1992. New York: Russell Sage Foundation, and Cambridge. MA: Harvard University Press, 1992; Italian translation: II Mulino, 1994; French translation: Seuil, 2000.
- [13] Andrew Taslitz. Racial blindsight: The absurdity of color-blind criminal justice. *Ohio State Journal of Criminal Law*, 2007.
- [14] Thomas E. Weisskopf. Affirmative action in the United States and India : a comparative perspective / Thomas E. Weisskopf. Routledge London; New York, 2004.